Bank of India Bulletin, all of which were also on the record. Respondents argue that one of the two Indian companies whose reports were used by the Department did not produce subject merchandise as of 1993. Therefore respondents argue that the Department was not justified in rejecting the financial statements of the other four companies for not being "actual producers of subject merchandise in the surrogate country." Petitioners argue that the Department's decision to use financial data from only two Indian companies, SAIL and TATA, was correct and consistent with Department's practice in other investigations. Petitioners point out that the Department stated that its decision to include TATA's annual reports in their calculations was based on the statement that TATA is a significant producer of steel and hot rolled coils and TATA may also produce products that the Department considers to be plate, but which may be incorporated into TATA's annual report in the category "sheets." See Final Determination at 61970.

We agree with petitioners this decision was clearly methodological in nature. See Final Determination at 61969–70. Although one sentence in TATA's annual report indicates that TATA has not produced any "plate" since 1993, another section of the same annual report lists plate as a product produced by TATA. In addition, Iron and Steel Works of the World, 12th Edition lists both companies as producers of plate.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of our review of the errors alleged and the correction of the two ministerial errors described above, we have determined that the following margins exist:

Weighted-average manufac- turer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Anshan (AISCO/Anshan International/Sincerely Asia Ltd). Baoshan (Bao/Baoshan International Trade Corp/Bao	30.68
Steel Metals Trading Corp) Liaoning	30.51 17.33 38.16
Cheerwu Trader Ltd)	128.59 128.59

China-wide Rate

The China-wide rate applies to all entries of the subject merchandise except for entries from exporters that are identified individually above.

On October 24, 1997, the Department entered into an Agreement with the Government of the PRC suspending this investigation. Pursuant to Section 734(g) of the Act, petitioners, Liaoning and Wuyang requested that this investigation be continued. Because the International Trade Commission's determination was affirmative, the Agreement shall remain in force but the Department shall not issue an Antidumping duty order so long as (1) the Agreement remains in force, (2) the Agreement continues to meet the requirements of subsection (d) and (l) of the Act, and the parties to the Agreement carry out their obligations under the Agreement in accordance with its terms. See Section 734(f)(3)(B) of the Act.

This determination is published pursuant to section 735(d) of the Act.

Dated: December 22, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–609 Filed 1–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-580-812]

Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors of One Megabyte or Above From the Republic of Korea Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Time Limits

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limits of preliminary results of review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit of the preliminary results of the fourth antidumping duty administrative review of dynamic random access memory semiconductors one megabyte and above from the Republic of Korea. The review covers two manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period May 1, 1996 through April 30, 1997.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 12, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Blankenbaker or John Conniff, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office IV, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–0989/1009.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Currently, the preliminary results for the fourth review of Dynamic Random Access Memory Semiconductors (DRAMS) from Korea are due January 30, 1998. This review covers the period May 1, 1996 to April 30, 1997. The Department has received submissions from three respondents: LG Semicon, Hyundai and Techgrow Limited. However, due to the complexity of the issues involved in this case, including an allegation of transhipment through third country exporters and the requests by respondents for revocation the Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits set forth by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until March 2, 1998. This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: January 5, 1998.

[FR Doc. 98-610 Filed 1-9-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-401-040]

Stainless Steel Plate From Sweden: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On July 8, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the review of the antidumping duty finding on stainless steel plate from Sweden. The review covers two manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period June 1, 1995 through May 31, 1996.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 12, 1998.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Michael J. Heaney or Linda Ludwig,
Import Administration, International
Trade Administration, U.S. Department
of Commerce, 14th Street and
Constitution Avenue, N.W.,